

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTSP.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	10.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/041,750		01/07/2002	Jason Klivington	4860P2739	3969	
8791	7590	08/17/2004		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
		OLOFF TAYLOR & BOULEVARD	AN, SHAWN S			
	H FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS AN	LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			2613	7.71	
			DATE MA		6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Office Action Summa	ry	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 6				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3.  J.S. Patent and Trademark Office		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			-				
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the E  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objectio  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	) accepted or by on to the drawing(s) e correction is requi	red if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or election i	requirement.					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
Disposition of Claims  A) Claim(s) 1.15 is/are pending in the application							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u></u>						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicable of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutes are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no excation.  lays, a reply within the statory period will apply and versions, by statute, cause the apply.	vent, however, may a reply be ti tutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron plication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
	Shawn S		2613				
Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit				
	10/041,7	50	KLIVINGTON, JASON				
,	Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				

Art Unit: 2613

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-4 and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tahara et al (5,657,086).

Regarding claims 1 and 11, Tahara et al discloses an apparatus/method comprising:

means for performing an encoding information on a set of data representing a video frame as frame based-data and as field based data to generate arrays of frame based data and arrays of field based data (Fig. 13, elements 200, 201);

means for selecting either the frame based data or field based data based on the number of non-zero coefficients in the frame based data and the field based data (Fig. 13, element 255; abs.); and

means for converting an ordering of the arrays of selected data (58).

Regarding claims 2-3 and 12-13, Tahara et al discloses performing DCT (200, 201) and quantization (57) of results of the DCT operation.

Regarding claims 4 and 14, Tahara et al discloses means for comparing a macroblock of frame based data to a macroblock of field based data (abs.), and

means for selecting the macroblock of data having the fewer number of non-zero coefficients (the smallest quantity of data) (abs.; 255).

Art Unit: 2613

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 5-10 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tahara et al (5,657,086) in view of Hall et al (5,737,020).

Regarding claims 5 and 15, Tahara et al does not specifically disclose the ordering of the arrays of data coefficients comprising means for performing zig zag conversion wherein an 8 X 8 matrix having an original order being converted in to having a scanning order of a regular pattern from upper left to the bottom right.

However, a conventional MPEG generally allows two different orderings called zig-zag scan and alternate scan.

Furthermore, Hall et al teaches ordering of the arrays of data coefficients comprising means for performing zig zag conversion wherein an 8 X 8 matrix having an original order being converted in to having a scanning order of a regular pattern from upper left to the bottom right (Fig. 2).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the relevant art employing the apparatus/method as taught by Tahara et al to incorporate the zig zag scanning as taught by the Hall et al as an efficient way to encode or compress the transformed coefficients.

**Regarding claim 6,** Tahara et al discloses all of the claimed subject matter discussed above with the exception of an article of manufacture comprising a software performing all of the claimed subject matter.

However, a software program performing an encoding operation is well known in the art.

Art Unit: 2613

Furthermore, Hall et al teaches that encoding can be accomplished by hardware or by <u>software</u> (col. 4, lines 1-2).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the relevant art employing the apparatus/method as taught by Tahara et al to incorporate the software program as taught by the Hall et al as an efficient way to encode or compress the transformed coefficients, thereby significantly saving costs associated with the hardware.

Regarding claims 7-8, Tahara et al discloses performing DCT (200, 201) and quantization (57) of results of the DCT operation.

**Regarding claim 9**, Tahara et al discloses means for comparing a macroblock of frame based data to a macroblock of field based data (abs.), and

means for selecting the macroblock of data having the fewer number of non-zero coefficients (the smallest quantity of data) (abs.; 255).

Regarding claim 10, Hall et al teaches ordering of the arrays of data coefficients comprising means for performing zig zag conversion wherein an 8 X 8 matrix having an original order being converted in to having a scanning order of a regular pattern from upper left to the bottom right (Fig. 2).

#### Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.
  - A) Kim (6,351,492), Method and apparatus for encoding a video signal.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shawn S An whose telephone number is 703-305-0099. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex hours (10).
- 7. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2613

Page 5

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SSA

Primary Patent Examiner

8/15/04